



U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

identifying data desited to prevent dearly management invasion of personal activacy

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536

File:

SRC-01-215-51310

Office: Texas Service Center

Date:

JAN 1 0 2003

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

Petition:

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and

Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

PUBLIC COPY

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

> FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, **EXAMINATIONS**

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a restaurant with five employees and a gross annual income of \$250,000. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a kitchen manager for a period of three years. The director determined the petitioner had not established that the proffered position is a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel submits a brief.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(ii) defines the term "specialty occupation" as:

an occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, engineering, architecture, mathematics, sciences, social sciences, medicine and education, business specialties, accounting, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The director denied the petition because the petitioner had not demonstrated that the proffered position requires a baccalaureate degree. On appeal, counsel states, in part, that the Department of Labor (DOL) in its <u>Dictionary of Occupational Titles (DOT)</u> has determined that the proffered position is a specialty occupation. Counsel further states that the petitioner normally requires such degree.

Counsel's statement on appeal is not persuasive. The Service does not use a title, by itself, when determining whether a particular job qualifies as a specialty occupation. The specific duties of the offered position combined with the nature of the petitioning entity's business operations are factors that the Service considers. In the initial I-129 petition, the petitioner described the duties of the offered position as follows:

Coordinates activities of food preparation, kitchen, pantry, and storeroom personnel and purchases or requisitions of food stuff and kitchen supplies. Plans or participates in planning menus, preparing and apportioning foods and utilizing food surpluses and leftovers. Specifies number of servings to be made from any vegetable, meat, beverage, and dessert to control portion costs. May assist dietitian to plan, change,

test, and standardize recipes to increase number of servings prepared. May set prices to be charged for food items. May meet with professional staff, customers, or client group to resolve menu inconsistencies or to plan menus for special occasions.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- 1. A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- 2. The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- 3. The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- 4. The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

The petitioner has not met any of the above requirements to classify the offered position as a specialty occupation.

First, the Service does not agree with counsel's argument that the proffered position would normally require a bachelor's degree in nutrition or a related field. Counsel asserts that the DOL has determined that the proffered position is a specialty occupation. However, a reference in the DOL's DOT, Fourth Edition, 1977, standing alone, is not enough to establish that an occupation is a specialty occupation. The DOT classification system and its categorization of an occupation as "professional and kindred" are not directly related to membership in a profession or specialty occupation as defined in immigration law. In the DOT listing of occupations, any given subject area within the professions contains nonprofessional work, as well as work within the professions.

The latest edition of the \underline{DOT} does not give information about the educational and other requirements for the different occupations. This type of information is currently furnished by the DOL in the various editions of the $\underline{Occupational~Outlook~Handbook~(Handbook)}$. The latter publication is given considerable weight (certainly much more than the \underline{DOT}) in determining whether an occupation is within the professions. This is because it provides specific and detailed

information regarding the educational and other requirements for occupations.

The proffered position appears to be that of a food service manager. A review of the DOL's <u>Handbook</u>, 2002-2003 edition, at pages 56-57, finds no requirement of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty for employment as a food service manager. Most food service management companies and national or regional restaurant chains recruit management trainees from 2 and 4-year college hospitality management programs. In addition, some restaurant and food service manager positions, particularly self-service and fast food, are filled by promoting experienced food and beverage preparation and service workers. Thus, the petitioner has not shown that a bachelor's degree or its equivalent is required for the position being offered to the beneficiary.

Second, the petitioner has not shown that it has, in the past, required the services of individuals with baccalaureate or higher degrees in a specialized area such as nutrition, for the offered position. Third, although the record contains various job advertisements, the petitioner did not present any documentary evidence that businesses similar to the petitioner in their type of operations, number of employees, and amount of gross annual income, require the services of individuals in parallel positions. Finally, the petitioner did not demonstrate that the nature of the beneficiary's proposed duties is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

The petitioner has failed to establish that any of the four factors enumerated above are present in this proceeding. Accordingly, it is concluded that the petitioner has not demonstrated that the offered position is a specialty occupation within the meaning of the regulations.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.